



Multiple risk factor interventions for primary prevention of coronary heart disease have limited utility

Clinical question	How effective are multiple risk factor interventions for prevention of coronary heart disease (CHD)?
Bottom line	The use of "health promotion" techniques of one-to-one or family orientated information and advice on a range of life-styles (exercise, smoking cessation, diet) given to people at relatively low risk of cardiovascular disease is not particularly effective in terms of reducing the risk of clinical events. The costs of such interventions are high and it seems likely that these resources and techniques may be better used in people at high risk of cardiovascular disease where evidence of effectiveness is much stronger.
Caveat	In some cases, risk factor changes may have been over- estimated because of regression to the mean effects, lack of intention to treat analyses, habituation to blood pressure measurement and use of self-reports of smoking.
Context	Many countries attempt to reduce mortality and morbidity from CHD by using primary prevention programmes. It is widely believed that multiple risk factor intervention using counselling and educational methods is efficacious and cost-effective and should be expanded.
Cochrane Systematic Review	Ebrahim S et al. Multiple risk factor interventions for primary prevention of coronary heart disease. Cochrane Reviews 2006, Issue 4. Art.No: CD 001561. This review contains 39 trials with sizes ranging from 50 to 30,022 participants.
Pearls No. 59 April 2008, written by Brian R McAvoy	

PEARLS are succinct summaries of Cochrane Systematic Reviews for primary care practitioners. They are funded by the New Zealand Guidelines Group.

PEARLS provide guidance on whether a treatment is effective or ineffective. PEARLS are prepared as an educational resource and do not replace clinician judgement in the management of individual cases.