

News

The Therapeutics Initiative

The Therapeutics Initiative (TI) was established by Pharmacology and Therapeutics in cooperation with the Department of Family Practice at the University of British Columbia in 1994. With its mission to provide physicians and pharmacists with unbiased, current, evidence-based, practical information on prescription drug therapy, the TI operates as independently as possible, separate from the influence of governments, the pharmaceutical industry and other vested interest groups. www.ti.ubc.ca

Justice Health Field

The Cochrane Collaboration announced that the Cochrane Justice Health Field became a registered entity within The Cochrane Collaboration on 7th September 2010. Contact details: Dr Catherine Gallagher, Justice, Law and Crime Policy Program, George Mason University, Manassas, USA, cgallag4@gmu.edu

P.E.A.R.L.S.

practical evidence about real life situations

The New Zealand Guideline Group fund the Cochrane Primary Care Field to produce the P.E.A.R.L.S. (click here for the websitelink)

Access http://www.cochraneprimarycare.org/ to view the PEARLS online.

The actual Cochrane abstracts for the P.E.A.R.L.S are at

178. Bariatric surgery effective for obesity

179. Situations Midwife-led models of care beneficial

180. Music interventions may be beneficial for coronary heart disease

181. No evidence for effectiveness of antivirals in preventing postherpetic neuralgia

PRIMARY HEALTH

Colophon

Sign in!

We would be grateful if you could forward the URL for colleagues to sign up to our website by going to http://lists.cochrane.org/mailman/listinfo/primarycare

More information

For more information about the Field, or to view the previously published PEARLS please visit: http://www.cochraneprimarycare.org

To (un)subscribe

To (un)subscribe please visit:

http://lists.cochrane.org/mailman/listinfo/primarycare

Bruce Arroll 1 , Jaap van Binsbergen 2 , Tom Fahey 3 , Tim Kenealy 1 , Floris van de Laar 2

Tilly Pouwels ²

Secretary to Cochrane Primary Health Care Field email: t.pouwels@cochraneprimarycare.org

The Cochrane Primary Health Care Field is a collaboration between:

- ¹ New Zealand Branch of the Australasian Cochrane Centre at the Department of General Practice and Primary Health Care, University of Auckland and funded by the New Zealand Guidelines Group;
- ² Academic Department of Primary and Community Care in The Netherlands, The Dutch College of General Practitioners, and the Netherlands Institute for Health Services Research;
- ³ Department of General Practice, Royal College of Surgeons in Ireland, Dublin.

Abstracts

Bariatric surgery effective for obesity

obesity?	riatric (weight loss) surgery for results in greater weight loss than
Bottom line Surgery for obesity	
least up to 10 years associated with reduced in the control of the	nent, and the results are maintained at a Furthermore, the weight loss is uctions in comorbidities, such as tension, and medication use. Short wements in health-related quality of out longer term (10 year) effects are rovements in some aspects of quality s. Surgery is associated with adverse sibility of postoperative mortality. It of different bariatric procedures where years, vertical banded gastroplasty, anding, isolated sleeve gastrectomy, resion and banded gastric bypass). The ality of life is similar between out through open or laparoscopic

	surgery. More wound problems and hernias occurred with open surgery, while anastomotic stricture and reoperation were more common after laparoscopic surgery.
Caveat	Data on the comparative safety of the bariatric procedures were limited; all procedures were associated with adverse events, but few trials compared data statistically and none were powered to do so. Due to the limited evidence and poor quality of the trials, caution is required when interpreting the comparative safety and effectiveness of these procedures.
Context	Obesity is associated with many health problems and a higher risk of death. Bariatric surgery for obesity is usually only considered when all other treatments have failed. People who are eligible for surgery have a body mass index (BMI) greater than 40 or greater than 35 with related conditions, such as type 2 diabetes.
Cochrane Systematic Review	Colquitt JL et al. Surgery for obesity. Cochrane Reviews 2009, Issue 2. Article No. CD003641. DOI: 10.1002/14651858. CD003641.pub3. This review contains 26 studies involving over 3800 participants.
PEARLS No. 178, July 20	09, written by Brian R McAvoy

[References]

Situations Midwife-led models of care beneficial

Clinical question	How effective ar	re midwife-led models of care?
PRII	led care confers and has no iden norm for women complications. Thaving a baby be reduced antenat and during labou anaesthesia (NN episiotomies (NN (NNT* 47 [30 to woman's chance midwife she has spontaneous variants and sportaneous variants and sp	edical-led care and shared care, midwife- several benefits for mothers and babies, tifiable adverse effects. It should be the injudged to be at low or high risk of the main benefits are a reduced risk of efore 24 weeks (NNT* 70 [45 to 146]), tal hospitalisation (NNT* 42 [20 to 417]), tur, a reduced risk of regional analgesia/ NT 18* [15 to 26]), with fewer NT* 26 [19 to 44]) or instrumental births 101]). Midwife-led care also increases a ter of being cared for in labour by a signal birth and increases initiation of in addition, midwife-led care leads to a

	shorter length of hospital stay for babies and to more women feeling they are in control during labour. *NNT number needed to treat to benefit 1 individual (95% confidence intervals)
Caveat	There is no difference between the different models of care in the risk of a mother losing her baby after 24 weeks.
Cochrane Systematic Review	Midwives are primary providers of care for childbearing women around the world. However, there is a lack of synthesised information to establish whether there are differences in morbidity and mortality, effectiveness and psychosocial outcomes between midwife-led and other models of care. Hatem M et al. Midwife-led versus other models of care for childbearing women. Cochrane Reviews 2008, Issue 4. Article No. CD004667. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD004667.pub2. This review contains 11 trials involving 12,276 women.
PEARLS No. 179, July 20	09, written by Brian R McAvoy

[References]

Music interventions may be beneficial for coronary heart disease

Clinical question	What are the effects of music interventions in patients with coronary heart disease (CHD)?
PRIN	Compared with standard care, music listening may reduce systolic blood pressure (about 5mm Hg) and diastolic blood pressure and heart rate in patients with CHD. Music listening also appears to be effective in reducing anxiety upon hospitalisation in patients with myocardial infarction. The effect is modest - about half a point on a 5 point scale. No evidence for anxiety-reducing effects of music was found for patients undergoing cardiac procedures. This may be due to the fact anxiety was measured after the completion of the procedure rather than during it. Music listening may also reduce pain and respiratory rate. However, the magnitude of these effects is small and the quality of the evidence is not strong
Caveat	No evidence of effect was found for depression, heart rate variability, and peripheral skin temperature.

	Inconsistent results were found for mood. However, only a small number of trials investigated the effects of music on these outcomes. There are many styles of sedative music (eg, new age, classical, country and western, easy listening, etc) and, at this time, it is unclear which type of music is most effective. Most studies examined the effects of listening to pre-recorded music.
Context	CHD is the single leading cause of death worldwide. According to the World Health Organization, 16.7 million people worldwide die of cardiovascular disease each year.1 Individuals with CHD often suffer from severe distress putting them at greater risk for complications. Music interventions have been used to reduce anxiety and distress and improve physiological functioning in medical patients.
Cochrane Systematic Review	Bradt J and Dileo C. Music for stress and anxiety reduction in coronary heart disease patients. Cochrane Reviews 2009, Issue 2. Article No. CD006577. DOI: 10.1002/14651858. CD006577.pub2. This review contains 23 studies involving 1461 participants.
PEARLS No. 180, July 2009, written by Brian R McAvoy	

References:

1. World Health Organization. World Health Report 2003: Shaping the future. 2003.

No evidence for effectiveness of antivirals in preventing postherpetic neuralgia

Clinical question	How effective are antiviral agents for preventing postherpetic neuralgia (PHN)?
PRIN	Oral aciclovir did not significantly reduce the incidence of PHN, defined as pain lasting 120 days or longer from rash onset. There was some evidence for a reduction in the incidence of pain 4 weeks after the onset of rash (NNT* 11 [6 to 56]). There was insufficient evidence from randomised controlled trials to support the use of other antiviral agents (famciclovir) for preventing PHN. No serious adverse effects attributable to the experimental therapy were reported in these trials during treatment, or within 2 weeks of stopping treatment, and non-serious adverse effects were not significantly more common among those receiving antivirals than among control group participants. *NNT = number needed to treat to benefit 1 individual (95% confidence intervals)

Caveat	The results of the review were limited to oral antiviral agents (aciclovir and famciclovir), and immunocompetent patients with herpes zoster. Evidence of efficacy on outcomes, such as pain severity and quality of life, could not be shown by these results.
Context	PHN is a painful and refractory complication of herpes zoster. Treatments are either partially or totally ineffective for many people with PHN. Antiviral agents, used within 72 hours of the onset of the rash, are one of the best-established approaches that may prevent the development of PHN.
Cochrane Systematic Review	Li Q et al. Antiviral treatment for preventing postherpetic neuralgia. Cochrane Reviews 2009, Issue 2. Article No. CD006866. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD006866.pub2. This review contains 6 studies involving 1211 participants.
PEARLS No. 181, July 2009, written by Brian R McAvoy	

[References]

COCHRANE PRIMARY HEALTH CARE FIELD